	Case 2:14-cv-00772-GMN-NJK Document 232 Filed 05/29/15 Page 1 of 2
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
8	
9	HOLOGRAM USA, INC., et al.,
10	Plaintiff(s), (ase No. 2:14-cv-00772-GMN-NJK
11	vs. ORDER
12	PULSE EVOLUTION CORPORATION, et al., (Docket Nos. 222, 226)
13	Defendant(s).
14	Pending before the Court is Defendants Ian Christopher O'Connell, Musion Events Ltd. and
15	Musion 3D Ltd.'s motion to be excused from the settlement conference or, in the alternative, to be
16	permitted to participate telephonically. Docket No. 222. The Court has considered Defendants'
17	motion, Plaintiffs' response, and Defendants' reply. Docket Nos. 222, 228, 231. For the reasons
18	discussed below, Defendants' motion (Docket No. 222) is hereby DENIED . ¹
19	Also pending before the Court is Defendants Pulse Evolution Corporation, Pulse
20	Entertainment Corporation, John Textor, and William James Rock's motion to continue the
21	settlement conference or, alternatively, to be excused from the settlement conference. Docket No.
22	226. The Court has considered Defendants' motion and Plaintiffs' response. Docket Nos. 226, 229.
23	For the reasons discussed below, the Court GRANTS Defendants Pulse Evolution Corporation,
24	Pulse Entertainment Corporation, John Textor, and William James Rock's motion to continue the
25	settlement conference. Docket No. 226.
26	
27	
28	Once the Court sets a new date for the settlement conference, the Court will allow Defendants Ian Christopher O'Connell, Musion Events Ltd. and Musion 3D Ltd. to refile a motion to appear telephonically.

Case 2:14-cv-00772-GMN-NJK Document 232 Filed 05/29/15 Page 2 of 2

1 On May 18, 2015, the Court scheduled the mandatory Pre-Claim Construction Settlement 2 Conference for July 6, 2015, and ordered that the parties comply with certain requirements with 3 respect to that settlement conference. Docket No. 221 ("Settlement Conference Order"). Settlement 4 conferences provide an important vehicle for the parties to attempt to resolve their disputes. The 5 Court expends significant time preparing for and conducting them, and takes them very seriously. Settlement conferences are not meaningful absent personal attendance by all individual parties and 6 7 those with settlement authority. To that end, the Court's Settlement Conference Order provides in 8 relevant part that: 9 Unless ordered otherwise, the following individual(s) are required to be present in person for the duration of the settlement conference: 10 1. All counsel of record who will be participating in the trial; 11 2. All parties appearing pro se; 12 3. All individual parties; 13 4. In the case of non-individual parties, an officer or representative with binding authority to settle this matter up to the full amount of the claim or last 14 demand made; and 15 5. If any party is subject to coverage by an insurance carrier, then a 16 representative of the insurance carrier with authority to settle this matter up to the full amount of the claim or last demand. 17 Docket No. 221, at 1-2 (emphasis in original). Requests for exception to the above personal 18 attendance requirements "will be strictly scrutinized for a showing of compelling justification." 19

Id., at 2 (emphasis in original).

Accordingly, the Court VACATES the settlement conference set for July 6, 2015. The parties are **ORDERED** to meet and confer and file a stipulation with a set of five mutually agreeable dates for the pre-claim construction settlement conference, no later than June 5, 2015.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: May 29, 2015

26

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

NANCY J. KOPPE United States Magistrate Judge